



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
House of Representatives
State House, Boston 02133

William C. Galvin
STATE REPRESENTATIVE
6th NORFOLK DISTRICT
STATE HOUSE, ROOM 166
Tel. (617) 722-2692
Fax (617) 722-2846
William.Galvin@mahouse.gov

CHAIRMAN
House Committee on Rules

December 5, 2018

The Honorable Ajit Pai, Chairman
The Honorable Michael O'Reilly, Commissioner
The Honorable Brendan Carr, Commissioner
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
455 12th Street, Southwest
Washington, DC, 20544

Dear Chairman Pai:

I write to support the Comments of Massachusetts Community Media, Inc. (MassAccess) and to disapprove of the proposals and tentative conclusions set forth in the FCC's September 25 Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, MB Docket 05-311.

Throughout my long-term tenure as Avon's Massachusetts State Representative, I am acutely aware of the detrimental effects the FCC's decision would have on public, educational and governmental channels (PEG). The loss of revenue and support will force municipalities to divert resources away from core services, suffer a dramatic reduction in the range of PEG channels, or lose them altogether.

The FCC lacks authority to impair private franchise contracts. Section 622 of the Cable Act defines "Franchise Fees" and the FCC has no right to redefine. Any attempt to redefine "Franchise Fees" weakens the authority of local municipalities.

The rule making invents "Cable-Related In-kind Contributions and "Fair Market Valuation" where there is no precedent. Section 622 of the Cable Act states that "[a] no Federal agency may not regulate the amount of the franchise fees paid by a cable operator."

Community media stations allow the residents of Avon, MA to watch and create uniquely local programming about their community and local events and issues of interest to them. Such

was the intent of the PEG provisions of the 1984 Cable Act – to enhance local voices, serve local community needs and interests, and strengthen our local democracy.

By defining “franchise fee” in an overly broad fashion to include “in-kind” support, the FCC’s proposals will shift the fair balance between cable franchising authorities and cable operators and will force communities to choose between franchise fees and PEG channels, – something that was never the intent of the Act.

In closing, I appreciate your consideration and hope you will protect PEG channels in our community and others by choosing not to adopt many of the proposals in the Further Notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "William C. Galvin". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "William" and last name "Galvin" clearly distinguishable.

William C. Galvin
Massachusetts State Representative